

# Addiction to a Network

**David Gilo**  
Tel Aviv University

**Ariel Porat**  
Tel Aviv University

**Yevgeny**  
**Tsodikovich**  
Bar Ilan University

# Overview

---

- Psychological literature:
  - Peer pressure important cause for addiction
    - Cigarettes, alcohol, drugs, obesity
    - Facebook, Instagram, Tiktok, etc.
      - Fear of missing out
- Dynamic rational addiction to a harmful product
- Informed individuals
- Connected to a network of users
- Accumulated stock of consumption:
  - Harms each individual
  - Imposes peer pressure on her
- The question: will individual try to counteract?

# Main Findings

---

- When harm is concave in aggregate stock:
  - Increase in network/usage/influence intensifies addiction
- When harm is sufficiently convex:
  - Increase in network/usage/influence mitigates addiction
- “Rehabilitation” (disconnection from the network)
  - Can prevent addiction if implemented early enough
- Social media platforms’ practices:
  - “People you may know”
  - Infinite scrolling
  - Likes or push alerts and notifications
    - All intensify addiction
      - Supports regulation
      - notification/defaults insufficient

# Model

---

- $n$  individuals  $i=1,2,\dots,n$  who form a network.
- In each period, individual  $i$  can consume two products:
  - $c$ -non addictive
  - $a$ -addictive
- Connected to network at time  $t=0$

$$u(c_t^i, a_t^i, s_t^i)$$

$$s_{t+1}^i = \delta s_t^i + \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ij} a_t^j$$

- Cannot choose not to be in the network

# Model-continued

---

- Network inflicts peer pressure:
  - $u_{13} < 0$
- Aggregate stock harms individual:
  - $u_3 < 0$
- Fixed income per-period,  $y$ .
- Discount factor  $\beta \in (0, 1)$
- $i$ 's problem is:

$$\max \left\{ (1 - \beta) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t^i, a_t^i, s_t^i) \right\}$$

# Model-continued

---

- Main focus:  $u_{33} > 0$ 
  - (Harm concave in aggregate stock)
    - Reinforces peer pressure
- If harm sufficiently convex:
  - Larger network mitigates addiction

# Constant network

---

- Large network
  - Does not take account of her influence
  - Takes others' consumption as given
  - Others are in a steady state
    - Contribute constant aggregate stock each period

# Critical levels and steady states

---

- As without a network
  - Initial state below critical level:
    - Converges to a low steady state
  - Initial state above critical level:
    - Converges to a higher steady state

# Turning an abstainer into an addict-constant network

---

- Two mechanisms:
    1. The larger the network,  $L_j$ , the lower is the critical level
$$s_j^0 > s_c(L_j)$$
    2.  $L_j > (1 - \delta)s_c$
- Initiation does not require stressful event
- Unlike current rational addiction models

# Strategic players-existence of equilibria

---

- Open Loop Equilibrium (“OLE”):
  - Individual assumed not to observe others' deviations
  - Strategy not path-dependent
- Tarski's fixed-point theorem
  - Prove existence
    - For any initial stock and any influence matrix
      - Reaction functions exist and are:
        - » Upward-sloping-if harm concave
        - » Downward-sloping-if harm sufficiently convex

# Consumption-less and full-consumption equilibria

---

- A consumption-less equilibrium always exists
- For large enough network:
  - Only consumption-less and a full consumption
- Regulation can ban infinite scrolling
  - Increases “full consumption”
- Coordination problem:
  - Guidance, alcoholics anonymous, weight loss, ...

# Comparative statics

---

- Consider an intermediate-consumption OLE.
- All following changes yield new OLE
  - In which all consume more:
    - (i) Increase in  $\gamma_{ij}$
    - (ii) Addition of a network member  $i$
    - (iii) Increase in an individual's initial stock
- And vice versa when harm sufficiently convex

# Policy implications of comparative statics

---

- “People you may know”, “you have a friend request”
- AI enhancing influence:
  - Feed’s content
  - “Top fan badges”
- Inflating users' initial stock:
  - Restoring content even if disconnected
  - Encouraging new users to share previous content
- By revealed preference:
  - Harm is not sufficiently convex

# Rotten apple can spoil the barrel

---

- Characterize equilibria where rotten apple joins
- Suppose network was consumption-less
- New equilibrium with cascade  $\rightarrow$
- Optimal response to changing network:
  - Between response to constant networks
- Rotten apple  $i$ 
  - Minimum per period stock  $j$  is exposed to:
$$L_j \equiv \gamma_{ji} \inf a_t^i$$
    - $j$ 's best-response to changing network larger:
      - Critical level reduced:  $s_j^0 > s_c(L_j)$
      - Or  $L_j > (1 - \delta)s_c$

# Rotten apple can spoil the barrel-continued

---

- Aggregate stock contributed by  $i$  and  $j$ 
  - Forms a changing network remaining individuals are exposed to
    - And so forth
      - By our existence result-new equilibrium with consumption

# Timeliness of rehab

---

- Particularly with respect to smoking, alcohol, drugs, obesity
- Current rational addiction literature cannot explain
- In our framework, becomes meaningful
  - E.g., adolescent disconnected from gang
- If implemented before period:

$$t_c = \frac{\ln \left( 1 - \frac{s_c(1-\delta)}{y \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ji}} \right)}{\ln \delta} - 1$$

→ It is effective

**Thank you!**

---